

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,505	WANG, ZERONG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas J. Theisen	1724	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Douglas J. Theisen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Rebecca Uryga.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

14 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 14 and 18 are duplicate claims. The need to cancel claim 14 was discussed. Claim 14 lacks antecedent basis for "said filtering bags".
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)